

The Financial and Operational Challenges of CAR-T Therapy Expansion: Building a Tiered, Transparent Framework for Cellular Therapy Trial Budgets

Steven Sands, Kerrie B. Bouker, PhD, Nicholas B. Hiltbrand, MS, Meghan N. Mavredes, MPH

Georgetown Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center

Background

Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center (LCCC) is leveraging cross-consortium expertise to grow its CT portfolio, including investigator-initiated trials (IITs) and provide support to the expanding non-oncology clinical trials portfolio. However, CT products and patient care are costly, and insurance reimbursement is complex. Rapid CT trial expansion has created significant revenue-cycle and reimbursement challenges compared to standard interventional studies.

Goals

- **Financial viability:** Build a framework that ensures the CT research program is financially sustainable
- **Operational clarity:** Establish a blueprint that clearly delineates responsibilities between the Lombardi cell-therapy team and non-oncology teams entering the CT space
- **Broaden access:** Expand the CT trial portfolio to include IITs and non-oncology indications
- **Transparency:** Publish a standardized, tiered fee schedule that sponsors can review prior to contract negotiation

MS-DRG-018: Inpatient Reimbursement for CAR-T

MS-DRG 018

Federal inpatient payment driver for CAR-T therapies, modified for clinical trials. % of payment (16% in 2026) is provided since sponsor covers manufacturing.

- **Single bundled payment** – covers the entire inpatient stay; no split billing between routine costs and study-specific charges.
- **Routine Care:** if the Inpatient stay can be considered routine care, the Medicare Coverage Analysis must consider all billable events during the inpatient stay as Routine Care.
- **Non-oncology or Non-covered inpatient stays:** provides a clear benchmark for what costs should be recuperated (Usually \$60k - \$75k per use of MS-DRG-018 on a clinical trial).

THE NEGOTIATION CHALLENGE

"STICKER SHOCK"

High start-up + monthly maintenance fees can reach 5-figures or more, before a single patient is enrolled.

- **Cost surprises mid-negotiation** stall contracts
- **Non-oncology sponsors** unfamiliar with CT infrastructure costs and DRG-based inpatient reimbursement

Solutions & Methods

- **Tiered fee schedule:** Three risk-stratified tiers (Lower / Moderate / High) capturing the full range of CT studies — not just CAR-T with lymphodepletion + inpatient admission
- **Study Start-Up Agreement (SSUA):** Adapted from non-CT studies; secures sponsor agreement to non-negotiable activation fees before formal budget negotiations begin
- **Strategic budgeting:** Inpatient vs. outpatient logic, MS-DRG vs. CPT reimbursement modeling, start-up and annual fees grounded in real salary and infrastructure data
- **Early cell-therapy team engagement:** Coverage analysis and feasibility input from the cell-therapy core before CTA drafting
- **Interdepartmental playbook:** Defined hand-offs across CTMF, BMCP, Radiology, Pharmacy, and non-oncology departments
- **Lifecycle financial management:** Start-up, monthly maintenance, and close-out are negotiated together — not sequentially
- **IIT-specific model:** Adjusted budget templates leveraging CMS guidance on CAR-T billing for clinical trials

LOMBARDI CTO TIERED RISK MODEL

All CT trials require heightened oversight. Tiers reflect operational acuity — not just CAR-T with inpatient admission.

TIER	RISK PROFILE
TIER 1	LOWER RISK Managed under Cell Therapy Program; increased observation, generally no inpatient admission.
TIER 2	MODERATE RISK May require inpatient management at PI discretion; meaningful potential for CRS/ICANS.
TIER 3	HIGH RISK Generally inpatient; 24/7 on-call readiness; specialized cell-processing workflows.

TRANSPARENCY + THE SSUA

The Administrative fee schedule and Study Start-Up Agreement (SSUA) places every non-negotiable cost in front of the sponsor before formal negotiation begins.

- **Shareable Administrative Fee Schedules w/ Justification:** Provided to the sponsor upfront, signed, and version-controlled.
- **SSUA signed pre-CDA / pre-CTA:** locks in tier classification and non-negotiable start-up totals.
- **Eliminates back-and-forth** on fees that institutional policy will not move on (start-up, IRB, FACT/biosafety).
- **Frees negotiation bandwidth** for the items that matter: per-patient costs, IP supply, sponsor-specific procedures.
- **Builds sponsor trust** through visible, defensible cost recovery rather than line-by-line surprises.

3-YEAR COST RECUEPRATION MODEL

- ✓ Aim to cover research personnel costs dedicated to CT portfolio
- ✓ Combination of Start-up costs, Close-Out Costs, Recurring Fees
- ✓ Lombardi model began in Q1 2026

Outcomes

- **Sustainable start-up + annual fees** supporting specialized CT staff salaries.
- **Per-patient budgets** properly coded and reimbursed; no split billing during inpatient periods.
- **Adequate reimbursement** for oncology CT studies; provides a defensible baseline for non-oncology negotiations.
- **Recuperated 23% of 3-year goal** within first 6 months

Lessons Learned & Future Directions

1. Educate research teams on the operational burdens of CT trials, back-end CAR-T billing (e.g., MS-DRG-018, NCD 110.24) and billing policies well before sponsor engagement.
2. Build sponsor-facing one-pagers explaining tier rationale to shorten negotiation cycles.
3. Refresh the 3-year recuperation model quarterly; tie tier reassignments to actual operational burden data.

Contact

Steven Sands, CPC, CPCO
Budget Coverage Analyst
Clinical Research Operations Office
Georgetown University Medical Center
3900 Reservoir Road, NW
Washington, DC 20007
ss4719@georgetown.edu