Optimizing Workload Management in Phase-I Oncology Clinical Research through Novel Oncology - Phase-I Acuity Scoring System (O-PASS)

Shannon E. Fabrie, BS; Matthew Dort, MS, CCRP; Wencesley A. Paez, MD, MS; Teena Kochukoshy, MD, MS; Anthony J. Olszanski, MD, RPh

Background

- The Ontario Protocol Assessment Level (OPAL) is widely used to assess trial acuity but assigns a uniform score of eight (OPAL = 8) to all Phase-1 trials. This generalization, even after adding "optional elements" score modifiers, fails to account for workload variability within Phase-1 programs, creating unmet need for clinical research teams.
- High workloads contribute to burnout among clinical research professionals, highlighting need for workload management tools tailored to complexities of Phase-I oncology trials.
- Optimizing workload management through a dedicated Oncology Phase-I Acuity Scoring System (O-PASS) can help mitigate these concerns and develop a more effective Phase-I program.

Goals

- O-PASS was designed to more accurately estimate workload of Phase-I oncology clinical research coordinators (CRCs) and clinical research nurses (CRNs).
- By improving workload distribution, O-PASS aims to reduce burnout and turnover, ultimately stabilizing staffing and strengthening the Phase-I program to expand patient access to clinical trials.

Solutions & Methods

Step 1: Standard Acuity Scoresheet Assess each study within the portfolio and pass through the following criteria totaling each item to a final raw acuity score per study. The raw acuity score will have a maximum of 100. **Examples of Standard Acuity Scoresheet Metrics** Outpatient Clinic Observation Period Lengths and Estimated Total Enrollment Per Year **In-Patient Admission Observations** Number of Visits During Dose Limiting Toxicity Period **Number of Investigational Products** Number of Visits Post Dose Limiting Toxicity Period **Treatment Schedule** Requires Coordination with Outside Departments CRS/ IRR/ SAE Risk Assessment (i.e.. Interventional Radiology/Radiation Therapy/Surgery) Central Lab Collection Time-Points Number of Unique Cohorts **Standard Scoring Levels:** 0 = N/A2 = Somewhat Difficult 4 = Very Difficult 1 = Not Difficult 3 = Moderately Difficult 5 = Most Difficult

Step 2: Raw Acuity to Weighted Score convert raw acuity score from Step 1 to Standard Weighted Score		Step 3: Workload Calculation Key Make study specific adjustments per accrual status and whether staff member is primary CRC/CRN or primary-backup CRC/CRN. Calculate total workload.	
Raw Acuity Score	Weighted Study Score	Adjustment Key:	
60	4.5.1.6.1	O OF the last and the Class Lie Annual (Ale Delie et Citalian	

Raw Acuity Score	Weighted Study Score	Adjustment Key:	
<60	1-Point Study	0.25 weighted points: Closed to Accrual/No Patient Studies	
60-70	2-Point Study	(Weighted Score/2): Closed to Accrual/Patients Still On Study	
70-100	3-Point Study	(Weighted Score/4): Primary Back Up CRC/CRN	

Total Workload Score =

[Sum of All Primary Assigned Studies Weighted Scores] + [Sum of (Each Primary Back Up Study Weighted Score/4)]

Step 4: Assign Studies with Maximum Workloads per Level of Experience

CRC/CRN total workload should not exceed level of experience or ability per position.

Position	Years of Experience	Max Study #	Max Total Workload Score
CRN III	~ 4 years' experience as a Clinical Research Nurse	8-9 Studies	13-14 Weighted Score
CRC III	~ 4 years' direct experience in Clinical Research	7-8 Studies	12-13 Weighted Score
CRN II	~ 2 years' experience as a Clinical Research Nurse	6-7 Studies	11-12 Weighted Score
CRC II	~ 2 years' experience as a Clinical Research Coordinator or 5 years of experience related clinical research role	5-6 studies	9-11 Weighted Score
CRN I	~ 1 year experience as a Clinical Research Nurse or ~ 2 years' experience in nursing with a focus in oncology	4-5 studies	9-10 Weighted Score
CRC I	~ 1 year experience as a Clinical Research Coordinator or ~ 2 years' experience in healthcare setting	3-4 studies	7-9 Weighted Score

Outcomes

- 67% of CRC/CRNs reported improved work-life balance and reduced burnout.
- 100% believed O-PASS could help reduce staff turnover

Lessons Learned and Future Considerations

- Preliminary data suggests O-PASS enhanced the FCCC Phase-I clinical research program at FCCC.
- Limitations of study include:
 - Small cohort size
 - Single institution setting
 - Concurrent staffing changes may have influenced results.
- Ongoing follow-up questionnaires will further assess long-term effects; management will analyze retention data to evaluate its impact on turnover.
- Further refinement of tool will increase
 effectiveness. In the future, O-PASS will serve as
 model for workload assessment tools for data
 specialists and regulatory coordinators.











