

NIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA



Comprehensive Cancer Center designated by the National Cancer Institute

Authors: Jaime Wurth, CCRP; Ashley Vogt, CCRP; Julianne Feola, PhD, CCRP; Dinah Bullock; Danielle Berkow-Schwartz; Erica Orcholski, CCRP

Background: For a large cancer center with a multidisciplinary team of clinical and research staff, the traditional format of delegation of authority log (DOA) poses limitations. These limitations include: obtaining signatures from 20+ staff members in a timely fashion; the need to send pages of the log throughout campus, leading to missing documentation; and lack of consistency in capturing the workflow of clinical research staff.

Goal: Reduce the time needed to obtain a completed delegation authority (DOA) log after a study's site initiation visit (SIV). W reviewing the process, the step that took the greatest amour collecting each research staff's signatures, with collection tin over several weeks.

Approach: Only require the Principal Investigator's (PI) initia eliminate the need for research staff to sign the DOA log for The purpose of signature collection is to verify who the work to. Instead of completing the signatures for each log, a mast log was created for each research staff member and made e accessible for all studies. See figure below.

Result: With the elimination of research staff signatures for studies, only the PI signature is required to be collected for completion. With the PI available at nearly all SIVs, DOA log to be signed and uploaded to Oncore CTMS same day or ne SIV.

Master Signature Log	Masonic Cancer Center University or Missiona Deplete University or Missionan OFFICE
Name of research staff:	[enter name]
This form will be used to ide	entify signatures and handwritten notes on clinical trial records.
Please complete all fields be	
Printed Name	
Signature	
Signed Initials	
Printed Numbers (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9)	



Redesigning the Delegation of Authority Log for the Modern Cancer Center

	Goals	
ation of When nt of time was mes varying	Goal: Reduce opportunities to misplace individual pages of the delegation of authority (DOA) log. With multiple research staff signature requests circulating, many pages were out for signature at a time instead of on file in the investigator's regulatory file, leading to monitor findings for pending signatures.	Goal: Reduce the
als and each study. is attributable ter signature electronically	Approach: As noted in the previous approach, only require Principal Investigator (PI) signature and eliminate the need for research staff to sign a DOA log for each study. See figures below.	Approach: Custon are typical per role Nurse (CRC-RN), (Practice Providers Services (IDS), and
individual DOA log gs were able ext day from	Result: With the elimination of staff signatures, the log only had to be circulated to one individual (the PI) instead of multiple.	Result: Created a authority log templa tasks as well as an eliminated the varia suggested tasks fo
	Original DOA Log Columns:	The follow
	NAME (printed) SIGNATURE ROLE STUDY RESPONSIBILITY (CODE) DATE STARTED WITH TRIAL DATE ENDED WITH TRIAL PI INITIALS Image: Imag	A. Dete B. Obta C. Perfo D. Perfo E. Prepa F. Instru G. Disea
	Updated DOA Log Columns:	H. AE/S. I. Safet
	NAME (printed) START DATE* STOP DATE ROLE STUDY RESPONSIBILITY (codes) PI Initials	J. CRF o K. Provi L. Hand M. Signi
		N. Initia O. Othe
	Current Flow Summary	Limitations an
on Delegation Log filed in Regulatory Binder and uploaded to OnCore CTMS	Regulatory Specialist Prepares Delegation Log filed in Regulatory Binder and uploaded to OnCore CTMS	 Uncertain if ind to work on the Differences be date. Balancing Sposites vs. our sites
	Delegation Log Reviewed with PI at Site Initiation Visit	University of Minn regulatory system Trials Office is co the lessons learn
	Same or Next Day	system that meet
		University's resea



variability of delegated tasks.

nize set delegated tasks and determine which tasks (PI, Sub-Investigator, Clinical Research Coordinator Clinical Research Coordinator (CRC), Advanced (APP), Treating Providers (TP), Investigational Drug 1 Regulatory Specialist (RS)). See figure below.

policy to require use of our own site delegation of ate whenever possible, which included customized option to add other tasks. Using our own template ability from using Sponsor logs. Created a key with or each study role.

Delegated Task	Responsible Role
rmine subject eligibility	Sub-I
in subject informed consent	Sub-I, CRC-RN, TP
orm Physical Exam	Sub-I, TP, APP
orm Drug Accountability	IDS, CRC-RN, CRC
are and dispense study drug	IDS
uct subject on study drug administration	CRC-RN, Sub-I, TP, APP
ase assessment evaluation	Sub-I, TP*
AE attributions	Sub-I
y/deviation reporting	CRC-RN, CRC, RS
completion/query resolution	CRC-RN, CRC
ide regulatory support	RS
lle/ship research laboratory samples	CRC
ng treatment plans	Sub-I, APP (non-CTEP studies), TP
l Protocol Training	Sub-I, CRC-RN, CRC, PM
r (specify, if applicable)	[see below examples]

ing delegated tasks are typically linked to the responsible roles listed:

nd Future Directions

dividual research staff know they have been delegated e study if they do not sign the DOA log. etween delegated start date and initial protocol training

onsors' desire for consistency of DOA logs between ite's requirement to use internal DOA log.

nesota research teams will transition to an electronic n in summer 2020. Masonic Cencer Center Clinical ollaborating with the larger research community, using ned during this project to implement an electronic DOA ts the needs of not only our program, but also the arch community at large.