

How to Implement at Master Delegation of Authority Process Across a **Clinical Trials Office** Liz Rohn, MS, CCRC, Tammi Detty, BA, CCRP, Amanda Semla, BA, CCRP, Sarah Asche, Kayla Ackermann, MSc, CCRP Indiana University

Background

Maintaining an accurate and complete list of staff participating on a clinical trial is an important part of study conduct. However, the documentation of staff delegation can be cumbersome and is often repetitive. Additionally, this documentation often differs across of trials, making various types consistency across multiple studies difficult. Developing a method to facilitate study document compliance and standardize delegation of study roles across the Clinical Trials Office (CTO) would be useful in minimizing regulatory burden.

Metrics & Goals to be Achieved

- Establish a standardized method in which all studies conducted within the Clinical Trials Office (CTO) are delegated in the same manner
- Align personnel roles with tasks on protocols appropriate to duties and training
- Create documentation to support the Master Delegation of Authority initiative

- Staff then assigned tasks by role on individual protocols as appropriate
- SOPs and templates created to explain the mDOA initiative and document delegation authority appropriately with the CTO, as well as on individual protocols
- Master Delegation Profiles created per role and completed by personnel upon start and maintained throughout time in role
- Individual Protocol Delegation of Authority logs track staff assigned to specific prealong with dates active on the trial in role



Figure 1. Example mDOA Role Profile				Figure 2. Example mDO/ Documentation for Individua						
				INDIANA UNIVERSITY Melvin and Bren simon Cancer center Log of Study Personnel						
	CANCER CENTER			Protocol Nur	mber	Principa	l Investigator			
	DELEGATION OF AUTHO	RITY PROFILE		Staff Name			Role*	Start Date of Study Delegation	Stop Date of Stu Delegation	
	dhere to the following significant trial-related duties and u ated investigator(s) for trials coordinated by the CTO.	inderstand that the overall res	ponsibility for conduct of all trials							
Full Name:					_		INDIANA MELVIN AND B	UNIVERSITY	ľ	
Title/Position: Principal Investigator					PI Delegation of Authority Memo					
	Review/Obtain Informed Consent	Obtain and document	Medical History/AEs/Medications		Protocol Title:					
	Determine Subject Eligibility	Complete CRFs/Querie			Protocol Number:	Sponsor:				
	Perform Physical Exams	Prepare/Ship Lab Samp	les		Principal Investigator:	Site Number:				
Significant trial	Assess Lab/ECG/EKG/Medical Reports	Essential Document M								
related duties:	Sign CRFs/Queries	Perform IVRS/IWRS	fic questionnaires, tools, diaries	-	The section below is to be completed at study initiation.					
	SAE Reporting	🛛 Central Imaging – Tran			I, the Principal Investigator for	gator for the above listed trial, have delegated study related duties to the individuals included o			dividuals included o	
	Prepare/Dispense IP (Blinded, as appropriate)	Additional tasks - Man	ager Initials & Date		Personnel. Individuals with c					
	Perform IP Accountability				Please see the IUSCC CTO M	aster Delegation of Autho	ority SOP for an	explanation on the mainte	enance of these doci	
By signing this form	I agree that I am performing delegated research res	ponsibilities as assigned ba	sed on education and training.		Start date of delegation time p	eriod:				
					Signature of Principal Investig	ator:		Dat	te:	
Signature:		Initials:	Initials:		The section below is to be comple	ted at study close-out.				
Start Date in Role: End Date in Role:				I, the Principal Investigator for the above listed trial, have reviewed the Log(s) of Study Personnel and have deemed accurate record of the delegated tasks during the course of this trial.						
					End date of delegation time pe	riod:				

INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Solution or Methods Implemented

Master Delegation of Authority (mDOA) process created to standardize staff del across all CTO new trials, with option to move over existing trials to the new process Staff roles assigned tasks on the mDOA as appropriate to their duties within role

INDIANA UNIVERSITY SIMON CANCER CENTER CLINICAL TRIALS OFFICE

elegation	 Outcome All new trials moving forward within the CTO have been opened utilizing the mDOA process (over 140 studies) 							
ation of t of role	 to date) Significant number of existing number of trials have been transitioned over to new mDOA as 							
rotocols,	 well Regulatory burden has decreased across protocols managed by the CTO 							
Trial								
	Lessons Learned & Future Directions							
dy	 Lessons learned: Maintaining clear communication with industry partners is important when not utilizing sponsor provided templates 							
h the Log of Study ns and delegated duties. ments.	 Future directions: Rolling out to teams outside of the CTO that operate under the Cancer Center 							