

The Importance of Antibiotics in Oncology Supportive Care

“The emergence of drug-resistant bacterial pathogens is a significant problem, particularly in high-risk patient populations. The development of novel antibiotic agents, the judicious use of antimicrobial agents through antibiotic stewardship, and adherence to infection control procedures will limit the emergence and spread of resistant organisms, improve patient outcomes, and preserve our therapeutic armamentarium for more prolonged usage.”¹

Key facts about infections in oncology patients

- Infections are the leading cause of morbidity and a major cause for mortality in cancer patients.^{2,3}
- Chemotherapy and radiation therapy weaken the immune system and leave patients at increased risk of infection.⁴
- Chemotherapy induced fever occurs in about 50% of patients with solid tumors and in greater than 80% of patients with blood cancers and clinically documented infections occur in about 20-30% of these patients.⁵
- About 50% of all microbiologically documented infections in Leukemia patients are caused by Gram-positive organisms, chiefly coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus* species. All these pathogens show resistance patterns that are geographically variable, ranging from > 90% methicillin-resistance in coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* to 50% *S.aureus* methicillin-resistance to 25% Vancomycin resistant *Enterococci*.⁶
- Antecedent chemotherapy has been identified as a risk factor for development of bloodstream infections. The overall fatality rates reported for cancer patients with bloodstream infections range from 13% (*S.aureus* infections) up to 48% (*P.aeruginosa* infections).⁷

Key facts about infections in pediatric oncology patients

- Infections are second only to malignancies as cause of death in children with cancer. At least half of children with hematologic malignancies will contract an infection at some point during the course of their therapy.⁸

- Children undergoing chemotherapy to treat cancer often suffer from complications— infections are chief among them.⁹
- Infections not only contribute to mortality but also prolong hospital stays, make chemotherapy more complicated and affect quality of life.¹⁰
- One large study compared the rates of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization in pediatric oncology patients between 2000/2001 and 2006/2007. The rates of colonization increased from 0.6% to 2.9%. MRSA colonization was associated with infection and prevalence of infection increased from 0.99% to 3.7%. Recurrent MRSA infections were seen in 22% of patients.¹¹
- Prophylactic use of antibiotics can improve outcomes and reduce the cost-of-care for children suffering from various forms of cancer.^{12,13}
- However, the widespread use of prophylactic antibiotic therapy has also induced development of resistance which is quickly becoming a major concern in supportive care.¹⁴

References

1. Rolston KV. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma. 2009;9 Suppl 3:S357-63. The use of new and better antibiotics for bacterial infections in patients with leukemia.
2. Pongas G, Hamilos G, Rolston KV, Kontoyiannis DP. Support Care Cancer. 2010 Dec 30. Formal adult infectious disease specialist consultations in the outpatient setting at a comprehensive cancer center (1998-2008): Diverse and impactful.
3. Maschmeyer G, Haas A. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2008 Mar;31(3):193-7. Epub 2007 Aug 20. The epidemiology and treatment of infections in cancer patients
4. Rapoport BL. Semin Oncol. 2011 Jun;38(3):424-30. Management of the cancer patient with infection and neutropenia.
5. Freifeld AG, Bow EJ, Sepkowitz KA, Boeckh MJ, Ito JI, Mullen CA, Raad II, Rolston KV, Young JA, Wingard JR, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2011 Feb 15;52(4):e56-93. Clinical practice guideline for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer: 2010 update by the infectious diseases society of america.
6. Rolston KV. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma. 2009;9 Suppl 3:S357-63. The use of new and better antibiotics for bacterial infections in patients with leukemia.
7. Wisplinghoff H, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Clin Infect Dis. 2003 May 1;36(9):1103-10. Current trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial bloodstream infections in patients with hematological malignancies and solid neoplasms in hospitals in the United States.
8. Bailey LC, Reilly AF, Rheingold SR.

- Semin Hematol. 2009 Jul;46(3):313-24. Infections in pediatric patients with hematologic malignancies.
9. Castagnola E, Caviglia I, Haupt R. Pediatr Rep. 2011 Feb 24;3(1):e7. Guidelines for the management of bacterial and fungal infections during chemotherapy for pediatric acute leukemia or solid tumors: what is available in 2010?
10. Sung L, Lange BJ, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA, Feusner J. Blood. 2007 Nov 15;110(10):3532-9. Epub 2007 Jul 27. Microbiologically documented infections and infection-related mortality in children with acute myeloid leukemia.
11. Srinivasan A, Seifried SE, Zhu L, Srivastava DK, Perkins R, Shenep JL, Bankowski MJ, Hayden RT. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2010 Dec 15;55(7):1317-22. doi: 10.1002/pbc.22815. Epub 2010 Sep 9. Increasing prevalence of nasal and rectal colonization with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in children with cancer.
12. Kurt B, Flynn P, Shenep JL, Pounds S, Lensing S, Ribeiro RC, Pui CH, Razzouk BI, Rubnitz JE. Cancer. 2008 Jul 15;113(2):376-82. Prophylactic antibiotics reduce morbidity due to septicemia during intensive treatment for pediatric acute myeloid leukemia.
13. Castagnola E, Boni L, Giacchino M, Cesaro S, De Sio L, Garaventa A, Zanazzo G, Biddau P, Rossi MR, Schettini F, Bruzzi P, Viscoli C; Infectious Diseases Study Group of the Italian Association of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2003 Apr;22(4):359-65. A multicenter, randomized, double blind placebo-controlled trial of amoxicillin/clavulanate for the prophylaxis of fever and infection in neutropenic children with cancer.
14. Castagnola E, Caviglia I, Haupt R. Pediatr Rep. 2011 Feb 24;3(1):e7. Guidelines for the management of bacterial and fungal infections during chemotherapy for pediatric acute leukemia or solid tumors: what is available in 2010?



IDSAs

Infectious Diseases Society of America

2010-2011 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President
James M. Hughes, MD, FIDSA
EMORY UNIVERSITY
ATLANTA, GA

President-Elect
Thomas G. Slama, MD, FIDSA
INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
INDIANAPOLIS, IN

Vice President
David A. Relman, MD, FIDSA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
PALO ALTO, CA

Secretary
Kathryn M. Edwards, MD, FIDSA
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
NASHVILLE, TN

Treasurer
Cynthia L. Sears, MD, FIDSA
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
BALTIMORE, MD

Immediate Past President
Richard J. Whitley, MD, FIDSA
UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM
BIRMINGHAM, AL

Paul G. Auwaerter, MD, FIDSA
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
BALTIMORE, MD

Johan S. Bakken, MD, PhD, FIDSA
ST. LUKE'S ID ASSOCIATES
DULUTH, MN

Michael L. Butera, MD
PULMONARY MEDICINE AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES
MEDICAL GROUP
SAN DIEGO, CA

Carlos del Rio, MD, FIDSA
EMORY UNIVERSITY
ATLANTA, GA

Thomas M. File, Jr., MD, FIDSA
SUMMA HEALTH SYSTEM
AKRON, OH

Carol A. Kauffman, MD, FIDSA
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN MEDICAL SCHOOL
ANN ARBOR, MI

Andrew T. Pavia, MD, FIDSA
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
SALT LAKE CITY, UT

William G. Powderly, MD, FIDSA
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN
DUBLIN, IRELAND

**Wesley C. Van Voorhis, MD,
PhD, FIDSA**
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE, WA

Chief Executive Officer
Mark A. Leasure

IDSAs Headquarters

1300 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 300

Arlington, VA 22209

TEL: (703) 299-0200

FAX: (703) 299-0204

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

info@idsociety.org

WEBSITE:

www.idsociety.org

October 17, 2011

Margaret A. Hamburg, MD
Commissioner
U.S. Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 1, Rm. 2217
Silver Spring, MD 20993

Dear Commissioner Hamburg:

I write on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSAs) to further our ongoing discussion regarding regulatory challenges in the antibacterial and influenza antiviral drug development and approval pathways. You are well aware of the imminent public health crisis with the potential to affect our nation's security resulting from the simultaneous increase in antimicrobial drug resistance and the decrease in the development and rate of approval of new drugs. IDSAs appreciates the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) recent efforts in producing new antibacterial drug clinical trial guidelines. However, we are concerned that these guidelines still do not create feasible pathways for conducting antibacterial drug trials. In addition, pharmaceutical companies are continuing to eliminate or downsize their antibacterial research and development (R&D) portfolios. The current situation is untenable, and the remaining antibacterial R&D programs hang in a fragile balance. Similar challenges arise from FDA's current approach to clinical trials design for influenza antivirals, particularly parenteral antivirals for hospitalized patients who are too sick to be treated with placebo.

We understand the difficulties that FDA faces in weighing the risks versus benefits in this area where the regulatory science is deficient. IDSAs is supportive of FDA and sensitive to the agency's constraints, but we remain deeply concerned. We jointly seek the same outcome: new, safe and effective anti-infective drugs. Unfortunately, at this time, the micro-organisms are evolving faster than we are.

For this reason, IDSAs asks that you engage with the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to discuss options for developing a process to review the operational feasibility of FDA's current approaches to the design of antibacterial drug and influenza antiviral drug clinical trials.

The IOM could:

- **assess the limitations and strengths of FDA's current statistical approaches;**
- **provide new perspectives on approaches to balancing public health risk vs. benefit of decisions that must be made, even in the face of incomplete or imperfect data, and applied to the evaluation of the safety and efficacy of new anti-infective drugs; and**
- **make recommendations leading to more rapid improvements in regulatory science.**

Reaching out to IOM for its expertise at this time would be consistent with the ideals set out in FDA's recently released blueprint for "Driving Biomedical Innovation: Initiatives to Improve Products for Patients". In that report, FDA noted:

"During the HIV epidemic in the early 1990s, experts from many fields came together to rapidly work through the science underlying the disease and devise programs for AIDS drug development. The result was a relatively efficient development process and availability of effective anti-HIV drugs."

We need exactly that kind of initiative now in other areas of anti-infective drug development. IDSA leaders appreciate that, despite less than optimal human and financial resources, FDA is aggressively modernizing and clarifying anti-infective guidance documents. However, as IDSA leaders have noted in meetings with you and other FDA officials and in our formal comments, significant feasibility concerns persist with proposed guidances for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CABP), Hospital-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (HABP)/Ventilator-Associated Bacterial Pneumonia (VABP) and Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections (ABSSSI). IDSA also remains concerned about the need for feasible guidances in areas relevant to resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections, such as non-inferiority trials of complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI), complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAI), organism-specific trials, and superiority trials. IDSA recently provided a similar assessment of FDA's guidance for developing influenza antivirals for treatment and/or prophylaxis in our comments submitted to the public docket on October 12. FDA's guidances, in some instances, appear to deviate substantively from standard clinical care and thereby may impede, rather than facilitate, anti-infective drug development. The realities of patient care may not allow compliance with regulatory guidance.

Our greatest concern is the statistical approaches the agency is employing. It seems that many of the troublesome areas in the draft guidances result from, or are strongly influenced by, the desire for unqualified clinical data, like mortality, that is easily analyzed statistically. FDA's current approaches particularly impact study designs where the infection/disease is acute, life-threatening, and for which a placebo group has not been and cannot now be included. The clinical reality in these patients is that successful treatment is measured by reduction of fever, return of appetite, and normalization of biomarkers. We believe that statistical analysis should include such clinically relevant endpoints as well as microbiologic endpoints addressing the elimination of the infectious pathogen.


In our earlier comments on the FDA draft HABP/VABP guidance, IDSA communicated two key points designed to improve the feasibility of HABP/VABP clinical trials: i.e., allowance for a brief period of antibiotic therapy prior to clinical enrollment; and the use of biomarkers as an adjunct and/or alternative to the collection of traditional respiratory culture specimens. Clinically, it is not possible to withhold the first dose of empiric therapy in HABP/VABP patients. These infections are caused by virulent highly antibiotic-resistant bacteria, occur in very debilitated hosts, and are treated with short half-life drugs, often in the setting of a foreign body (endotracheal tube). There is little chance that a single dose of a short-acting antibiotic is going to alter the clinical course of such infections. With regard to the proposed guidance for ABSSSI, the refusal to allow a single dose of a non-study antibiotic will make enrollment very

challenging. These same issues complicate trial designs for other areas mentioned above, including CABP, UTI, cIAI, etc. It is our understanding that many industry representatives also perceive FDA's proposed ABSSSI, CABP, and HAPB/VABP guidances to be infeasible to follow. In IDSA's recent comments on FDA's influenza antivirals guidance, we communicated that the guidance does not provide a realistic trial design for hospitalized patients, as the currently proposed designs would require unrealistically large numbers of patients and likely generate an outcome of non-superiority compared to available single agent neuraminidase inhibitor therapy. Instead we argued that an active-controlled, non-inferiority trial would not only be valid in hospitalized patients, but also would serve to promote more rapid antiviral drug development in the United States. Moreover, aspects of FDA's anti-infective guidances raise ethical concerns.

The leading reason that companies voice for the dramatic decrease in new anti-infective R&D is the lack of clear and feasible instructions from FDA. Despite numerous joint workshops held by FDA and IDSA and the work of multiple FDA advisory committees, the perception of impossible regulatory hurdles persists. During a recent meeting hosted by IDSA, the Pew Health Group and the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers Association (PhRMA), representatives from the few companies that remain in antibacterial drug development reported that FDA's current course is leading them to focus their future efforts on European, Asian, and Latin America markets and not on the United States. As physicians who treat patients in the U.S., we believe it is extremely important that antibacterial trials be designed in a fashion that permits them to be conducted in this country to ensure their results are generalizable to U.S. patients. We believe that the IOM could help break the logjam with an unbiased review, rooted in scientific expertise, and accelerate your goal of improving regulatory science. IOM recommendations could help advance a process in desperate need of momentum to the benefit of U.S. public health and national security.

We hope you will seriously consider our request. We look forward to continuing to work with you and FDA staff to achieve a realistic and viable regulatory pathway that will re-invigorate antibacterial and influenza antiviral drug discovery and development. Please contact Robert J. Guidos, JD, IDSA's vice president for public policy and government relations, should you have any questions or comments, at rguidos@idsociety.org or 703-299-0200.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "James M. Hughes". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

James M. Hughes, MD, FIDSA
President